“But the Law Won’t Help Us”: Challenges of Mobilizing Law 348 to Address Violence Against Women in Bolivia
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Abstract
Drawing on findings of an original 12-month ethnographic study, this article presents the challenges that Bolivian women face in accessing a new law that has been designed to protect them, Law 348 to “Guarantee Women a Life Free from Violence.” Data reveal that while the law creates opportunities for the (re)conceptualization of violence, mobilizing the law is fraught with difficulties and a culture of impunity prevails. The challenges of implementation are both nationally and internationally significant as other countries seek to enact similar legal strategies. In Bolivia, this article suggests, civil society organizations and women’s voices are central to the full realization of the law.
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Introduction
In an effort to deal with issues of gender inequality, combined with the rising politicization of violence against women (VAW) as a problem across the world, there has been a greater turn toward legal recourses that draw on international human rights frameworks (see Merry, 2006). Violence as a “rights issue,” however, is not always adequately contextualized in majority world countries whose legal, cultural, and political systems are often very different from those in the minority world (Bloom, 2018; Goldstein, 2012; Merry, 2006). The promises of rights that are enshrined in law are not

1 Abertay University, Dundee, UK

Corresponding Author:
Ashley Rogers, Division of Sociology, School of Business, Law and Social Sciences, Abertay University, Bell Street, Dundee DD1 1HG, UK.
Email: a.rogers@abertay.ac.uk
always deliverable and this, in turn, can lead to disenchantment and mistrust in the state (Hughes, 2017) as well as a culture of impunity for those who violate those rights. Legal reform based on notions of rights, such as a right to freedom from violence, as well as the criminalization of normalized behavior, does not always address the root of the problem. As laws have been created across Latin America and throughout the world to address VAW, responsibility continues to be placed on individual survivors of violence to mobilize the law, with little attention given to the constraints they face in doing so (Campbell & Mannell, 2016; Burton, 2008; Merry, 2003; Parmar & Sampson, 2007). As Walby (1997) highlights,

individual agency is found in the myriad ways in which women actively choose options within the constrained opportunities available to them—women act, but not always in circumstances of their choosing. (p. 7)

In Chile, Parson (2013) stresses the need to address wider aspects of society, such as social and economic conditions, instead of individualizing the solutions. Individualization conceals state and family constraints on women’s agency and capacity to mobilize the law (Carlile & Eastal, 2014; Parson, 2015). Coercive social norms (Stark, 2007) and the construction of women as subordinate lead to solutions that are paternalistic (such as in Uruguay; see Bloom, 2018) and constrain agency even further (Gherardi, 2016; Hanna, 2009). Therefore, legal change in and of itself rarely addresses the underlying structural conditions of society that not only lead to VAW in the first instance, but that perpetuate the idea that by virtue of the law existing, women automatically have access to it and should claim their rights against it.

Despite these concerns, the past 10 years have witnessed Latin American countries increasingly initiating legal changes to both recognize multiple forms of VAW and criminalize femicide, or “feminicidio” in Latin America,¹ defined as the murder of a woman because she is a woman (Lagarde, 2006; Radford & Russell, 1992; Russell & Harmes, 2001). The construction of these legal frameworks can largely be attributed to feminist activism and widespread social movement activity across the region. Movements such as #NiUnaMenos (translation: Not One Woman Less), which reaches across Latin America, continue to campaign for an end to VAW (Prada, 2016) and this collectivity, among others, recognizes that despite legal advancements and recognition of various forms of women’s rights, there continues to be a gap between law on paper and law in practice (Abel, 1980; Landman, 2005; Merry, 2009; Nelken, 1981, 1984; Yegorova, 2017). Within this gap, VAW has space to persist.

This article provides an original contribution to existing literature on legal recourse for women survivors of violence. Bolivia’s contemporary context reveals political commitments to addressing gender inequalities and expanding women’s rights and, in fact, it has advanced rapidly in this respect. Furthermore, women’s movements and organizations have established solid foundations of support for survivors and for the promotion of law. While this article focuses on Bolivia and the voices of Bolivian women, the rapid advancements in gender parity and legal recognition of various forms of violence reveal shortcomings that can be understood and
learned from, in international and national policy contexts, particularly as other countries are implementing similar legal frameworks, with Scotland’s focus on “coercive control” being a recent example (see Burman & Brooks-Hay, 2018).

A range of vocabulary is used to refer to VAW (see Vidal, 2013) but “violence against women” is used in this article because the focus is on a particular form of gender-based violence—that of men’s violence against women^2 (Rodríguez Cárcela, 2008). When men’s violence is framed in terms of “gender-based violence,” despite efforts to place emphasis on unequal gender dynamics (see Goldscheid, 2014), it does not adequately take account of the power relations between men and women (McLellan, 2012, cited in Pease, 2015). Instead, it becomes another way to avoid addressing this specific form of violence because women’s violence against men is incorporated into the term. “Violence against women,” though, emphasizes not only the most common direction of violence and but also the inequalities in gendered social arrangements and power, and it also reflects the legal language in Bolivia as well as popular rhetoric. While a range of VAW was encountered during 12 months of fieldwork including, but not limited to, political violence, trafficking, and forced marriages, this article focuses specifically on domestic forms of violence because these were most commonly disclosed by research participants.

**VAW in Bolivia**

*A Culture of Machismo*

Men’s VAW in Bolivia, as in other countries in Latin America and the world more broadly, can be explained by reference to intertwining and overlapping individual, social, and structural challenges that weave together to produce and reinforce a culture of machismo within and outside the family. As families are important sites for identifying oppression and subordination (see Bhasin, 2006; Millett, 1970; Mitchell, 1971; Oakley, 1972), they are not only spaces where the production and reproduction of patriarchal attitudes and dominant conceptualizations of masculinity take place, but they are also reflections of—and reflected in—the broader structural conditions of society. In the context of Bolivia, the concept of “machismo” is central to understanding family and gender relationships at an individual and societal level and, in turn, understanding VAW (Beattie, 2002; Freidric, 2011; Hume, 2008; Pena, 1991; Villereal & Cavazos, 2005).

*Machismo* is a Spanish-language term similar to “patriarchy.” It is characterized by hypermasculinity and aggression (Scharrr, 2001) and carves out a particular form of Latino masculinity and culture based on honor and pride. Although it is most closely identified with the image of the “Mexican Macho” (see Gutmann, 2006), similar to the work of Freidric (2011) in the context of Ecuador, machismo is now being expressed as an inherent part of Bolivian culture. It is most frequently displayed and internalized through toxic forms of masculinity and a strong desire to be the “macho man.” Dominant manifestations of this “superior masculinity” (Torres, 1998) are not only revealed in explorations of personal relationships but are also woven into the fabric of
Bolivian society through what Walby (1990) refers to as the six structures of patriarchy, including state power, male violence, and culture. Machismo can be found in all structures of society, at both macro and micro levels of everyday life.

While machismo helps us understand the foundations of gender inequalities, gender relations in families, and, consequently, violence, an overreliance on it as an explanatory factor (particularly at the level of the individual) can ignore the social, political, legal, and economic structures and institutions that facilitate the subjugation of women and encourage the perception that men are superior (Bhasin, 2006). These micro- and macro-level examples of machismo can be found in political, educational, professional, and legal institutions. Examples that emerged from Bolivian women’s narratives as part of this research include, but are not limited to, the exertion of control over Bolivian women’s behavior inside and outside of the family home; control over women’s socioeconomic position, and the construction of a culture of financial dependency; viewing women as property and the over-sexualization of “Whiter” women (see Canessa, 2008; Goldstein, 2000); restrictions on women’s ownership of land, and anything else that may be considered as a threat to the man’s “manliness” (Asencio, 1999). Furthermore, “marianismo,” a complementary and mutually reinforcing term to “machismo,” signifies and emphasizes “women’s moral superiority over men, hyper-appreciation of maternity, denial of female sexuality, and self-sacrifice” (Bastia, 2011, p. 23, see also Stevens, 1973). Taken together, these two concepts highlight the structure of Bolivian society and the conditions that make VAW possible.

Del Olmo (2017) argues that when Latin American criminologists examine violence, they usually do so from the point of the individual and do not always appear to attach these behaviors to the broader structures of society. This applies to VAW as well (Michalski, 2004). When the focus is on legal change and processes of criminalization, it can mean that not only might criminologists overlook important connections between individual behaviors and how they are structured, but they are unlikely to address the root of the problem (Del Olmo, 2017). Dagistanli (2015) therefore advocates for the recognition of men’s individual responsibility for acts of violence at the same time as considering how individual men’s choices are “shaped and influenced through the intersection of a specific set of cultural influences that come to bear on his actions” (p. 68). When anti-violence campaigns focus only on the need to de- or reconstruct dominant and harmful forms of masculinity—such as machismo—there is a shift away from the role of individual men and toward a critique of masculinity which is decoupled from the individual (Robinson, 2003). The problem with individualization remains. As violence is experienced every day in a number of forms–obvious and more subtle–the “distorting dichotomy of victim versus perpetrator” (Robben & Nordstrom, 1995: 10) can obscure the complexities of the social context that create opportunities for, and encourage, violence. Despite this, dealing with individual responsibility through law is an approach widely promoted across Latin America and the majority and minority world. In Bolivia, the government has largely focused on VAW as an individual problem both for women who experience it and men who perpetrate it.
Evo Morales and Legal Changes: The Introduction of Law 348

When Evo Morales, leader of the Movimiento al Socialismo (MAS; translation: Movement for Socialism), came to power in 2006 as the first indigenous President of the now Plurinational State of Bolivia, he represented hope and change for a country with an indigenous majority that had experienced injustice, violence and oppression under previous governments (see Klein, 2003). To attempt to redress the injustices, Morales initiated a return to the legal foundations of the country by constructing a New Constitution, approved and finalized in 2009 and hailed as one of his greatest achievements to date (Rousseau, 2011). This move was also part of Morales’s earlier aims to push for deepening democracy and political participation in a way that would address multiple forms of oppression based on ethnicity, class, and gender—something that Lee Van Cott (2000) points out is “amongst the greatest challenges facing democratic societies today” (p. 207).

Although the prevailing political strategy used by Morales was the adoption of culture as a political tool (Postero, 2010), space was also created for civil society organizations (CSOs) to challenge some of the deep-rooted links between cultural discrimination and gender. Such groups, some of which feature in this research, actively participated in the construction of the new constitutional text to ensure recognition for women’s rights that had previously been excluded (Schilling-Vacaflor, 2011). Their aim was to work through tensions and emerging conflicts, such as (a) between indigenous rights and women’s rights (Rousseau, 2011) and (b) between local and global legal frameworks more generally (see also Goodale & Merry, 2007). Involvement of civil society was central to ensuring that the New Bolivian Constitution contains more than 45 articles on equity and equality, nondiscrimination, and respect for dignity.

Since then, and for Bolivian women in particular, Morales has approved a number of specific legislative measures, and CSOs have continued to play a central role. Examples include the following: Law 026 passed in 2010, stipulating that houses of the Legislative Assembly must include gender parity; Law 234 in May 2012 made Bolivia the first country to criminalize political violence and harassment against women; and the particular focus for the remainder of this article, Law 348 to “Guarantee Women a Life Free from Violence,” enacted in 2013. Law 348 was hastily implemented when public and CSO pressure intensified after a police officer in La Paz murdered his wife, Bolivian journalist Hanalí Huaycho, despite her numerous reports of previous abuse (Aldunate, 2015). Although CSOs had long campaigned for the government to act on rising rates of VAW and femicide cases, Hanali’s murder “sped up public mobilization” (Coordinadora de la Mujer, CSO Interview, Bolivia, 2015) and revealed both the necessity and urgency of making tools available for the prevention of violence, the protection of women, and the punishment of perpetrators (La Patria, 2017).

All of these legal advancements in relation to gender equality and violence have been welcomed by Bolivian women, CSOs, members of government, and the international community (Sanín, 2018). There are two main reasons for this. The first is that the specific nature of VAW as rooted in domineering and repressive, gendered, machista ideologies, is now being brought to the attention of the Bolivian public
through awareness campaigns denouncing violence and promoting Law 348. The second is that the law not only officially recognizes femicide but it also recognizes and criminalizes all other forms of violence that precede it. There are 16 different forms of violence recognized by the law and it recognizes that VAW itself is rarely a singular act but usually a long and slow process of not only physical violence, but also mental, emotional, psychological, symbolic, and economic violence (Kelly, 1987; Radford, Friedberg, & Harne, 2000; Stark, 2007). Before Hanalí’s murder, there was little political will to develop such a law and priorities lay with other issues. Perhaps Morales had become distracted from his initial plans to address social injustices as his focus drifted to (and largely remains with) his newfound economic interests (Farthing, 2017). Elson (2006) points out that the interplay of political interests at different times can help to explain the extent to which particular rights, responsibilities, and obligations are recognized and met.

What is currently known about rates of VAW in Bolivia is relatively unreliable. Quantitative data on VAW have not been consistently or adequately collected, neither by organizations nor the government, which is problematic in terms of monitoring the problem and directing resources (see Walby, 2005). While Bolivian women’s organization Centro de Informacion y Desarrollo de la Mujer (CIDEM; translation: Centre for Information and Women’s Development) was the only body to document rates of violence and femicide across the country, they closed in 2015 due to a lack of funding. In general, research engagements with VAW in Bolivia are fewer than other countries in the region, particularly in terms of qualitative research and also due, in large part, to funding constraints. Despite the lack of data, there is increasing concern in Bolivia regarding the implementation failures of recently enacted Law 348 to protect women from violence. In recognition of this, at the end of last year, President Evo Morales announced the creation of a government cabinet to deal specifically with rising rates of VAW (Chuquimia, 2018). This is therefore a timely and original article. By foregrounding women’s voices and experiences, it addresses a gap in qualitative research on this issue to highlight the significant challenges faced by women in accessing justice, and points out the issues that the new gender-based violence cabinet will need to address in dealing with these. Going forward, women’s narratives should be central in the new cabinet in order to confront the realities of the current legal framework and the everydayness of violence against women, as well as draw attention to the challenges of mobilizing and accessing the law in the Bolivian context.

Research Aims

Between 2014 and 2015, ethnographic fieldwork was conducted in the high-altitude city of La Paz, Bolivia, to explore women’s engagements with law and justice, legal consciousness, and legal subjectivity (see Rogers, 2017). Although the research initially aimed to explore conceptualizations of human rights more generally, formal and informal encounters with Bolivian women revealed their desire for this research to focus on Law 348. This meant a shift in focus, and what emerged are insights into ongoing challenges of accessing Law 348, which the remainder of this article reveals.
Method

In-depth, qualitative data were gathered over 12 months by means of participant observation in a women’s center in La Paz (with a core group of 22 women and four members of staff), interviewing CSOs (women’s rights groups, human rights organizations, and other nongovernmental bodies), and semi-structured interviews with state institutions (members of the Bolivian Ministry of Justice and the special police force to deal with violence). In addition, four in-depth life stories were gathered that allowed further explorations of individual, social, and structural challenges that contextualize women’s experiences at different times throughout their lives (see Sarat & Kearns, 1993; Silbey, 2005). The combination of participant observation and life stories privileges oral history and storytelling, both of which are central to indigenous epistemologies and ways of life (Iseke, 2013; Kovach, 2009) and are valued in Bolivia whether people self-identify as indigenous, or not. This rigorous and immersive methodological approach to socio-legal explorations of law opens opportunities where women are able to share in knowledge and experience with others, with value placed on the space created for stories as much as the stories themselves (Lewis, 2006).

As a Euro-Western researcher in the Global South, my position in these spaces is also important to acknowledge. Fetterman (1998: 23) points out that “we are all products of our culture” and no research takes place in a philosophical vacuum (see also Murray & Overton, 2003). When the researcher and the research field site involve the mix of minority and majority world ideas, as this research does, processes of socialization and knowledge construction are more noticeable. Researchers must continuously engage with prior “socialization” and cultural knowledge while remaining open to new epistemologies and practices. As a Scottish, female researcher in Bolivia—a cultural outsider (Sherif, 2001)—a number of opportunities and challenges for data collection were met. For example, on one hand, the extended stay in the field and my ability to speak Spanish enabled me to make contacts, establish relationships, and develop a rapport with women. On the other hand, European Spanish did not give me immediate access to the nuances of Bolivian Spanish. Throughout fieldwork, Spanish lessons continued because, as Gade (2001) points out, “language learning in the field is but one aspect of gaining broad cultural competence” but ongoing language learning creates “an affinity, perhaps even a sense of belonging, [that] ties one to the country in which the fieldwork is conducted” (p. 377). As previously highlighted, openness to changing the focus of the research to Law 348 and VAW, as Bolivian women requested, also helped foster trust as a researcher (see Tilley, 2017; Tuhiwai Smith, 2004) while ensuring a more “field-informed” approach was adopted (Schlosser, 2014, p. 203).

Limitations in relation to these aspects of Bolivian life and language, as well as the lack of in-country expertise, could be considered as undermining researcher “authority” and ability (Mauthner & Doucet, 2003). Despite many moments of confusion, misunderstanding, and culture shock, these moments also opened space for inquisition, reflection, and clarification. For example, given the nature of the research and the extended stay in Bolivia, I frequently took the opportunity to check interpretations
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with participants themselves (Baxter & Eyles, 1997), ensuring time and space (for both the participants and myself) to clarify narratives and understandings of law, justice, and VAW as they were emerging. The use of a field diary facilitated this. Checking interpretations and keeping a field diary worked together to ensure confidence in the validity of interpretations and emerging findings (see Katz, 1991).

Narratives and fieldnotes were organized and thematically analyzed first on paper, and later with the use of NVivo, to explore, capture, and combine related challenges that women face in accessing Law 348 (see Braun & Clarke, 2006). In the remainder of the article, where Bolivian women (indicated by *) or members of CSOs or state institutions are included, pseudonyms are used for individuals. The names of institutions and organizations though, with permission, remain as they are. Although I gathered data largely in Spanish, the English interpretation is included here, translated as part of the original project. Stylistically, due to the nature of ethnographic data collection, data do not always appear in transcript form but instead feature as a combination of both “plain” and “enhanced” ethnographic writing, with “enhanced” referring to the establishment of logical and descriptive narratives that emerge from the array of data available but are not easily “quotable” from one set of fieldnotes or transcripts (see Humphreys & Watson, 2009).

Findings: Women’s Engagements With Law 348

Although Bolivia has been hailed as an inspirational example and model for other countries in relation to its VAW laws (Sanín, 2018), this section of the article presents qualitative data to highlight some of the key barriers that Bolivian women face in mobilizing Law 348. These are presented in relation to individual challenges, such as the practicalities of making a complaint; social challenges, such as the prevalence of victim blaming and the reflection of machista attitudes; and structural challenges, such as women’s economic dependence on men, corruption, and impunity within the legal system. Although presented separately here, the various barriers that emerge often involve a combination of differentially leveled challenges that knit strongly together. The institutionalization of machismo is evidenced throughout this section.

Making the Complaint: A Woman’s Responsibility

Engel and Munger (2003) point out that “identity . . . is the appropriate starting point for exploring how rights become active [because] the issue of who one is and where one belongs precedes the issue of the rights one might choose to assert” (p. 40). Who does or does not count as a victim and, in turn, who sees themselves as one, are constructed by dominant discourses (Christie, 1986; Dobash & Dobash, 1980; Leisenring, 2006; Merry, 2000). Before women make a complaint using the law, they must first identify themselves as a “victim” and, in turn, the perpetrator—who is often a partner—as an “offender.” Utilizing this criminological and legal terminology, in a binary form, is not easy. When abusive behavior has become as normalized as it has in
Bolivia, women struggle to consider what is happening to them as anything other than normal:

You need to think differently about what has been happening to you, where the person you love is now a criminal and you are now a victim. I can see that now, but it’s taken a long time. My way of thinking has changed.

Sofía* continued,

. . . it still won’t matter though. I know he is wrong, but the law won’t help us! [referring to “women” as a collective category]. (Sofía*, Life Story)

Should women recognize their experience in legal and criminal terms, their first encounters with formal legal actors will usually be with the police. Since Law 348, there is now a dedicated police force to deal with VAW (Fuerza Especial de Lucha Contra la Violencia, the “FELCV”). Despite this, making a legal complaint is far from easy and women are often reluctant to engage with the FELCV. Ariana’s* life story formed a central part of this research and her experience of not only violence but also attempting to access justice through Law 348 was one of the most harrowing encountered during fieldwork. Although we met in La Paz, the abuse that she experienced at the hands of her husband and his family took place in her hometown, in another municipality of Bolivia. This posed the first of many problems. She highlights,

An attempt was made to process the rape in La Paz, but they said that for jurisdiction the victim has to go to the place of the aggressor . . . that is also violating the rights of the victim, no? Because . . . how am I going to live where I know that those that have been abusing me have a lot of people helping them, not only physically? I mean, it is a large family. I am a person alone, completely alone. They also have the police helping them, the judge, the Secretary of the Court, I mean everybody helping the criminals. (Ariana*, Life Story)

Having heard the campaigns promoting Law 348 in light of its enactment, Ariana* escaped to La Paz with her son in tow because it is, as she suggested, “where most things happen with the law.” No one in her village was willing to help her because they view domestic violence (DV) as an individual or family issue. Despite the establishment of the FELCV, she found herself with little support from the police. Another woman, Camila*, also recounted her story of her first meeting with the FELCV and, for her, it was “humiliating and distressing” (Camila*, Fieldnotes). With matted hair, blood-stained and torn clothes, she was led several times back and forth along the open courtyard of the La Paz police station, in full view of the male officers who gathered along its perimeter: “I was paraded in front of those men, who looked at me like I was nothing,” she said (Fieldnotes). Like many of the other victims of violence encountered during fieldwork, Ariana* and Camila* were alone in the process of accessing the law, attempting to navigate the labyrinth of requirements needed to build a case:
I live fleeing. I flee to save my life. I don’t know. I have, like, a necessity to live. I fled that day [the last day of violence experienced]. I fled earlier. I returned. I started the lawsuits. I came here [to La Paz] and pressed charges. I went to the doctor, to the police, to other institutions, to groups of women, to NGOs, returned to the police, to the courts, to the Defensoría . . . I am exhausted. (Ariana*, Life Story)

The numerous emotional and practical demands are further compounded by gender relationships whereby partners often have control over women’s movements. For example, CIDEM pointed out that “it is difficult for women to make a denouncement because the husband has to know where she is” (Interview with CSO, CIDEM, Bolivia, 2015). Should a woman decide to report her experiences and acquire the necessary evidence, she also shoulders the risk of being further victimized if her partner becomes aware, and it is for this reason, among others, that Ariana* continues to live in fear as she persists with her case.

**Victim Blaming Culture and the “Ideal Victim”**

Throughout the arduous journey of building a case, Bolivian women confront patronizing and challenging attitudes that mirror ideologies of machismo and marianism. Victim blaming attitudes were documented from both men and women during fieldwork. Questions on women’s choice of clothing, their behaviors at the time of abusive incidents, and if they had consumed alcohol, were constant. During one of our many discussions at the women’s center, Elena* told me that, unlike Ariana*, she was not prepared to move frantically around the city, hoping someone would believe or help her. “What did you do to provoke him?” was the most common question she received, and often the first. She stated, “each time I am asked that, I realize I am fighting a world that does not want me to get justice. It doesn’t even want to believe me, never mind protect me” (Fieldnotes). Not being listened to or believed frequently resulted in feelings of frustration, despair, and disenfranchisement:

> All the time, they [the police] make me sound crazy if I raise my voice because I am frustrated, because of their insulting questions . . . and, then sometimes I think I am crazy. But the law is trying to make me disappear. Who am I? (Sofia*, Fieldnotes)

When seeking to mobilize the law, women find that they must act in a way that fits within its definitions of an “ideal victim” or they are constructed as “crazy Latinas” and their story is deemed less credible (Christie, 1986; Dobash & Dobash, 1980). In women’s narratives, the expectation and seeming requirement for women to fit this ideal image, to show signs of being a victim is important. An officer for the FELCV stated,

> [Officers] have learned to see the aggression, the contempt, the state of her [the victim’s] clothes, her hair. It’s also obvious from the expression on her face, the emotional fragility.
The assumption that there will be visible evidence of vulnerability became clear in stories of women’s encounters not only with the police, but also with the doctors and hospital staff, the media, members of the public, and even victims’ friends and family. From the outset, despite women taking action against their abuser, they must still conform to dominant depictions of a “victim” of violence.

Financial Dependence and Corruption

Once the legal process is initiated, other barriers which are more structural in nature are revealed. One of the biggest obstacles to the full realization of Law 348 is women’s economic position in society. The majority of Bolivian women continue to be economically dependent on their partners, despite President Morales’s apparent redistribution of resources and an increase in women’s economic power. Even when women are in positions to generate income, their earnings are still largely controlled by men (Orgill & Heaton, 2005).

Financial dependency plays a central role in situations of VAW not only in the acts of violence themselves (Bornstein, 2006; Rothman, Hathaway, Stidsen, & de Vries, 2007) but because mobilizing the Bolivian criminal justice system requires access to financial resources. Eight of the women who participated in this research pointed out that they had been told that immediate payments were required should they wish to proceed with their complaint of abuse. Payment is needed at various points of the process, including for the sheet of paper that the legal complaint is written on, the rubber stamp for processing the complaint (common practice in Bolivia and a marker of authenticity), a lawyer, and payment for medical checks that are required as evidence of abuse. In addition, women’s narratives revealed that police officers often requested money if officers have to travel as part of their investigations.

Women’s stories of these costs and the financial burden circulated quickly after the first women sought to mobilize Law 348. This has continued to be a deterrent for other women, who may have otherwise reported. Quora* is one such woman who has resigned herself for now to the fact that she is unlikely to be able to fully pursue a legal complaint against her abusive husband:

Even if I wanted to go to the police, I would need a lawyer and I don’t have money... my husband has the money because I do not have a job. So, what can you do?! If I go, they will want money for the papers, money for their time, money to sign [documents], money to add to the, to the [postal] stamps, everything. You can’t just have some money for some of it. You need to think if you have money for all of it. I don’t. It is simply that. (Quora*, Life Story)

Women’s economic position means that they are often unable to plan for what are largely, at least in the beginning, unforeseen and unexpected costs. These costs are neither transparent nor consistent. Bolivian women and women’s rights organizations interviewed suggested that such costs can be attributed to the problem of corruption in Bolivia, which is “present at all levels of society” (Wickberg, 2012, p. 1). Camila* explained,
Corruption, I mean it is everything that is related to the illicit gains—the power. The abuse of power is now part of our culture, of our democratic culture, right, and when we want to say “NO!,” [to] put a stop to it, all those who have broken the law are more than those who have not broken it. (Camila*, Life Story)

Camila* not only highlighted the pervasiveness of corruption, but she also juxtaposes those who break the law against those who do not, drawing further attention to the injustices she is witnessing. She was not alone in this perception and, in fact, the issue of corruption was raised by women in the Center almost every time that the government or a State institution was mentioned. Elena* suggested that corruption was so bad that it was creating a negative force in Bolivian society, an uncontrollable “monster” to which there would eventually be ramifications. With reference to those involved in corrupt practices and those who break the law, Elena* states,

Evo Morales will not be remembered because he has made changes in the indigenous villages, the new laws, but remembered because he has protected all of them [those engaged in corruption and other criminals], the powerful.

Of 247,369 complaints of violence made by women between 2007 and 2011, only 51 perpetrators received a final sentence. Between January 2015 and June 2016, there were 147 femicides, but only four cases resulted in convictions (Human Rights Watch, 2017). While issues of reporting and victim blaming highlight broader perceptions of society that infiltrate the justice process, no matter the country of study, this is further compounded in Bolivia by corrupt practices and systems that have become deeply ingrained. The cultures of machismo and corruption combine and permeate the social, political, and legal structures of Bolivian society. Legal professionals apply legal rules but are clouded by gender biases and opportunities for extortion. The result is that those who commit VAW are rarely held to account. If a woman’s complaint makes it as far as reaching courts and judges, they find that judges can be bought, and their case is dropped. In earlier stages of Ariana’s* case, she points out that it was clear that the judge had been bribed: “my destiny had already been spoken.”

Here you can buy the law! That is the problem! So, someone who doesn’t have money, can’t buy justice and for me, they have made me disappear civilly, financially, judicially. And like many say when I talk about the processes, at least with those of whom I’ve been able to speak about it with, they are scared of the process . . . especially when it is not on their side. (Ariana*, Life Story)

Bolivian gender specialist, Camacho Justiniano (2015), explains that “the judicial system does not grant justice to the victims, and often lets the aggressors go free.” Impunity is a serious problem in Bolivia (Human Rights Watch, 2018) and a letter from three Bolivian CSOs to the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights shortly after the promulgation of Law 348 emphasized concerns at the immunity that men appeared to receive in the Bolivian criminal justice system (Fieldnotes). In particular, they pointed out the low rates of conviction despite increases
in complaints. Most recently, though, after pressure from CSOs, Bolivia’s Vice President, Álvaro García Linera, has proposed the creation of a public record of men who have committed femicide or are found to have been violent with women, boys, and girls (El Dia, 2017). As it currently stands, for Bolivian women to escape violence, they must enter into legal, political, and social systems that, ironically, are steeped in other forms of violence from which they wish to be freed (Michalski, 2004).

**The Role of CSOs**

CSOs can be considered as occupying a porous middle ground between the State and citizens in Bolivia, existing in both spaces. CSOs have not only been key players in pushing for legal and social change in relation to VAW, but they also provide emotional, psychological, financial, and legal support in Bolivia. In 2013, at the same time as Law 348 was enacted, the MAS government created the Law of Legal Entities (Law 351). Stipulating that all civil society groups be in possession of a permit to function, Law 351 requires CSOs to specify the various ways they do (or will) contribute to the economic and social development of Bolivia. While this sounds straightforward, they must also highlight how these activities differ from those of the government. As Law 348 requires that each municipal council in Bolivia provide holistic support services for women, for example, regardless of their lack of implementation in practice, CSO activity in this area is being constrained. One such organization feeling the tension was CIDEM, where the director stated that

> [T]here is an anti-NGO policy, but the State is not fulfilling its function. If it cannot fulfil its function then let NGOs cover those spaces . . . [T]here is so much violence, there are no professionals who can attend to it, and there is so much death.

As highlighted earlier, CIDEM were forced to close their doors shortly after fieldwork ended. Once again, there appears to be a disjuncture between what government law and policy purports it is doing and what it is achieving in practice. The Law of Legal Entities has since been denounced by the Bolivian Ombudsman (*Defensoría del Pueblo*) as well as international human rights organizations, due to concern over the potential privileging of politically motivated decisions that could undermine human rights defenders (Human Rights Watch, 2015). The Inter-American Commission of Human Rights (2013, cited in Human Rights Watch, 2015), for example, stated that

> [T]he freedom of association, in the specific case of human rights defenders, is a fundamental tool that makes it possible to fully carry out the[ir] work . . . [b]ecause of this, when a state impedes this right, it not only restricts the freedom of association, but also obstructs the work of promoting and defending human rights.

It is this “freedom of association” that is threatened by increased legal regulations. Silen (2010) reveals limitations to the freedom of association of CSOs across a number of case studies in Latin America, and Farthing (2019) suggests that in Bolivia, there has been a contradictory process of extending and constricting democratic rights.
These struggles and constraints are important because, in a time when tensions are increasing between CSOs and the Bolivian State, the services they provide are becoming all the more central in maintaining and fighting for citizens’ space not only to voice their concerns but to also mobilize their rights (see Amnesty International, 2017). The centrality of CSOs and social movements cannot be overlooked. Civil society can be framed as important “translators” of human rights, as “people who can move easily between layers because they conceptualise the issue in more than one way” (Merry, 2006: 210). Through participation in CSOs, individuals are offered opportunities to join their stories to larger movements, taking advantage of opportunity structures for counter-hegemonic actions (see Boulding, 2014; Sikkink, 2005).

Local Legal Universes

As evidenced, mobilizing the law continues to be fraught with difficulties. With President Evo Morales’s announcement at the end of 2018 that he will personally steer the government cabinet to deal with VAW, his main obstacle (but only solution) will be to acknowledge and address the structural conditions of Bolivian society. When Law 348 was established, there was hope in the “guarantee” that women could have “a life free from violence,” but the emancipatory objectives of the law are slipping farther away (Fraser, 2000; Sieder, 2013). Yet, legal transformations alone are unlikely to influence social change (Chunn, Boyd, & Lessard, 2007; Friedman, 1975; Roach Anleu, 2010). As Bourdieu (1987) states, it “would not be excessive to say that [the law] creates the social world, but only if we remember that it is this world that first creates the law” (p. 839). For this reason, CSOs must play a central role in the new cabinets to ensure that machista, hegemonic discourses around VAW are challenged at individual and institutional levels.

Boaventura de Sousa Santos’s (2002) earlier work placed hope in the counter-hegemonic use of law and the construction of a “subaltern cosmopolitan legality,” both a law-forming and law-challenging project that would be driven by CSOs and social movements, and it is certainly the way that Law 348 began. Hegemonic forms of law, though, have become central to social and criminal justice strategies and movements in Bolivia, particularly where VAW is concerned. This turn to state law has opened possibilities for other forms of subjugation and control of women.

In place of justice, what has emerged are what Holder and Harrison (2003, p. 4) term “local legal universes” whereby the realities of the law reveal “forms of regulation rooted in local conditions of existence.” Regulation of women’s bodies, behaviors, their movements, their money, and their agency is steeped in the broader structural conditions of society that form the root of the problem of violence—namely, machismo (see Sieder, 2013; Sieder & McNeish, 2013). During encounters with official institutions of law—such as the police and courts—Bolivian women find themselves colliding with the harmful, stigmatizing gender norms of both a machista society and a patriarchal state (Coker, 2001). These experiences radiate out from the formal sites of law (see Galanter, 1983) and into the everyday lives of women, contributing to and reinforcing women’s subjugated position in society.
So herein lies the problem. The recognition of violence in legal terms is no doubt valuable in challenging the acceptance of violence as a normal part of Bolivian women’s lives but it places unfair responsibility on women to mobilize it amid conditions that deter them from doing so (Vanhala, 2011). It is the social conditions of society that need to be addressed, and legal transformations cannot do that alone. As Ximena Machicao (2015) points out, “if you implement and enact a law, the least you have to do is give the conditions for it to be executed.” Morales’s announcement of a new government cabinet, though, could be a step in the right direction.

**Concluding Remarks**

This year, 2019, is an important one for Morales’s MAS party. The Bolivian general elections are in October and support for Evo Morales is waning. In 2005, Evo won with a majority of 54%, then 64% in 2009, and 61% in 2014. A referendum in 2016 posed the question of whether or not Morales should be permitted to run for a fourth term, a move against the New Constitution he designed in 2009 but a request for an amendment to it. The result was a majority “No.” Farthing (2019) points out that “while the MAS government has achieved significant improvement for the country’s majority [and for women’s rights], the government’s method has undermined the very groups it says it is working in the name of” (p. 225). The initial actions of the current government were at least counter-hegemonic in their inception, and for Goldfarb (2011), this reveals that “legal culture is not impervious to the pressures created by new discourses and power relationships” (p. 62). The lure of criminalization and legal recognition in Law 348 offered an emancipatory promise (Santos, 2002; Sieder, 2013), reinvigorated civil society, and finally provided them, and women, with legislation as a springboard to their rights. As Merry (1995) points out, though, “the law provides a place to contest relations of power, but it also determines the terms of the contest” (p. 20). The terms need to be changed.

While legal changes are important for awareness raising and for women’s ability to recognize themselves as “rights-bearing endowed selves” (Merry, 2003), it is the navigation of corrupt and machista systems that reinforce women’s subjugation and powerless position and ultimately revictimize them. In their pursuit of justice, women therefore find themselves in a very *unjust* system and a culture of impunity is created. This will be one of MAS’s biggest challenges, should their presidency continue, and it is likely that for many of the women and organizations encountered during this research, Morales’s actions in the new cabinet prior to the election could influence voting preferences.

Going forward, the pressing concerns for the new cabinet (and for any country seeking to implement legal changes like these) will be to identify, address, and reshape forms of masculinity that result in violence at individual and institutional levels; identify and challenge corruption within the legal system; ensure the rigorous collection of quantitative data on violence and femicide to record changes and direct resources; and ensure space for CSOs and women’s social movements, recognizing their important role in change—a role steeped in the history of Latin America. Most of all though,
there must be a commitment to addressing and valuing the *lived realities* of violence and of Law 348. Bolivian women’s voices and narratives are where these realities can be found.
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**Notes**

1. Costa Rica in 2007, Guatemala and Colombia in 2008, Chile and El Salvador in 2010, Nicaragua and Peru in 2011, Argentina and Mexico in 2012, with Bolivia and Panama following in 2013. In 2014, Ecuador and Venezuela enacted their own legislation, and then Brazil in 2015. Uruguay is also currently awaiting the introduction of legislation that is as equally promising and comprehensive as Bolivia’s of Law 348 to “Guarantee Women a Life Free from Violence,” at least on paper.
2. This is not to ignore the many forms of gender-based violence that exist or violence that occurs in same-sex relationships.
3. The representation of the “Mexican macho” is perhaps one of the most popular images across Latin America (see Gutmann, 2006) and is also reflected in Bolivian culture and dominant constructions of masculinity.
4. Although encountered as an overwhelmingly negative term, Mirande (1998) points out that “machismo” can also indicate positive behaviors such as an emphasis on responsibility and a strong work ethic, being family oriented, and providing for and protecting family—all of which Bolivian women benefit from (see also De La Cancela, 1986). The desire to hold on to the positive aspects of identity that emerge from machismo and marianismo also results in the reproduction and performance of those characteristics that are negative and harmful (see Butler, 1990). For Torres, Solberg, and Carlstrom (2002), this can result in an “excessive adherence to the machismo mystique” (p. 164), or cultural script, which can often manifest itself in an overperformance and acceptance of more aggressive behavior.
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